

Federated Hermes Global SMID Engagement*

Hamish Galpin

Lead Manager

Federated Hermes Global SMID Engagement

Will Pomroy

Lead Engager

Federated Hermes Global SMID Engagement

2025 H1 Report

**Federated
Hermes**
Limited



www.hermes-investment.com
For professional investors only

*Formerly called SDG Engagement Equity

Federated Hermes Global SMID Engagement 2025 H1 highlights

“While the opportunities presented by AI are potentially huge, there is understandable anxiety as to what the adoption of AI will mean for employment.

At the end of H1 2025 the portfolio consisted of 46 companies.

80% of portfolio engaged during H1
65 engagement actions during H1
22% of engagement objectives saw progress in H1
141 'issues' or 'objectives' engaged during H1

47% Social 
26% Environmental 
18% Governance 
9% Strategy, Risk and Communication 

43 general meetings voted |  Voted against management at **28%** of meetings

“As a team we have always prioritised a focus on substance over form and practice over policy.

Source: Federated Hermes, as at 30 June 2025

Please note that this Fund's name changed to Federated Hermes Global SMID Equity Engagement (from SDG Engagement Equity) on 24 April 2025.

The name change highlights that we are a Global SMID Fund – which is important because small and mid-cap companies (SMIDs) are where we believe the greatest sustainability improvement potential lies and engagement is the tool to unlock that potential.

Our engagements are still linked to the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and we will continue to report our engagement alignment to the SDGs within our reporting.

SECTION 1

Introduction

The first six months of 2025 have been particularly turbulent for all things 'ESG'.

The shifting political landscape in the United States, in particular, has helped fuel further speculation about the demise of sustainable investing.

Needless to say, the reality on the ground is different.

On the positive side, this has led to a collective sharpening of the language and intentions of engagement, where perhaps the industry had become guilty of a slow decline into sloppy framing and assumptions of financial materiality.

Our engagement has always been about fiduciary duty – we are active investors and active stewards of the capital entrusted to us. Having a proactive dialogue with the companies in which we choose to invest teaches us things that can't be understood by simply listening to earnings calls and reading annual reports. It also allows our voices to be heard by the management teams who are ultimately responsible for the actions that drive the success of the investment.

We have found companies remain receptive to engagement; and continue to recognise the key issues which matter to both shareholders and stakeholders.

As a team we have always prioritised a focus on substance over form and practice over policy.

With that in mind, while we expect to see the adoption of sustainable targets moderate in the US, we do not believe that this shift will correlate with a diminished appetite from companies to invest in initiatives that will enhance their energy efficiency and business resilience.

The same applies to the make-up of workforces at a time when labour shortages and skills gaps are rising and we are seeing many well-run companies strive to maximise their available talent pool (through promotions and recruitment).

We continue to believe that purposeful and constructive shareholder engagement plays a critical role in the toolkit of any investor (sustainable or otherwise).

Through our dialogues with our holdings we have been able to glean new insights as well as encourage the adoption of practices and initiatives which are good for the company, as well as wider society.

While the geopolitical backdrop remains very volatile, the need for investors and companies to play their part in addressing the many societal challenges we face has arguably never been greater.

In that context, we are honoured to be entrusted by our clients to put their capital to work, actively investing in and engaging with companies in pursuit of both returns and positive change.



SECTION 2


Investment Philosophy and Approach

We aim to generate positive impact and shareholder returns by investing in attractive global SMID companies that have the potential to improve through active engagement.

- We believe that purposeful engagement is the principal mechanism through which investors can have influence with their holdings in order to create impact and generate shareholder returns.
- We focus on Global SMID companies as we gain better access to management teams that can affect our engagement efforts. These companies are typically earlier in their sustainability journey or looking to level up their societal impact.

- Global SMID is attractive within a portfolio as it has demonstrated the ability to provide strong financial returns (in keeping with our fiduciary duty) over the long term and early cycle, and provides diversification.

Our Fund combines the proven expertise of our Global Small and Mid-cap equity and engagement team, and it is a natural extension of our longstanding commitment to responsible investing and our leadership in stewardship.



We engage with companies throughout the value chain



SECTION 3

Prioritising AI engagement


Artificial intelligence (AI) is advancing at an extraordinary pace. The last couple of years have seen growing excitement and discussion about the growth in deployment across sectors – from healthcare and logistics to finance and creative industries – fuelled by breakthroughs in generative models, wider enterprise adoption, and massive infrastructure build-outs.

Today, nearly every company has, or is developing, an AI strategy.

Meaningful adoption is nascent but expectations are for this to shift to accelerate rapidly. Yet while there are promises of transformative economic and societal gains, it also brings complex and material sustainability risks that equity owners need to understand and have a stance on.


As can be seen from the below analysis of S&P earnings transcripts, the buzz is growing and, while highly concentrated within Technology, it is far from being solely the preserve of the tech sector.

Figure 1: AI and productivity mentions during S&P earnings calls (Q3 2020 to Q2 2025)



Source: Analysis undertaken utilising Bloomberg, July 2025.

Figure 2: Total mentions of AI and productivity (Q3 2020 to Q2 2025)



Source: Analysis undertaken utilising Bloomberg, July 2025.


AI's potential to drive economic productivity, accelerate scientific discovery, and address systemic challenges – from climate modelling to resource optimisation – is immense.

However, this upside is shadowed by significant downside risks. The environmental toll of AI is mounting, with compute-intensive models driving steep increases in energy consumption at data centres (and a sharp rise in water usage for cooling).

Worries about the impact of AI on society are no less acute. A primary concern is job displacement – on both white-collar and blue-collar roles. Meanwhile, the erosion of trust in information ecosystems, and the reproduction of systemic biases, has the capacity to exacerbate existing inequalities through un- or under-regulated AI systems.

While our Fund has historically been underweight technology and, in particular, software companies, that has begun to change.

As long-term investors, we play an important role in shaping how AI is developed and deployed – ensuring it aligns with sustainable, inclusive, and transparent principles.





We believe it necessitates targeted engagement across several key themes:

- 1 Eco-efficient software ('Green software') and infrastructure to address the rising environmental footprint;
- 2 Responsible and ethical AI governance to embed fairness, transparency, and accountability;
- 3 AI safety and oversight, particularly in high-risk domains where unintended consequences can be severe;
- 4 Transparency and explainability, enabling users and regulators to understand and scrutinise AI outputs;
- 5 A just AI transition, ensuring that re- and up-skilling is prioritised, redeployment keeps pace with labour market disruption and productivity gains are shared equitably.

What have we done – shift towards IT

During the first half of 2025 the Fund began to shift some exposure from Industrials and Consumer Discretionary to Information Technology and in particular software; the Fund bought into Tyler Technologies and Samsara, two application software companies, during H1.

While much of the early focus – and investor excitement – has centred on infrastructure providers and foundational model developers, the true breadth of AI's societal and economic impact will be mediated through its integration into enterprise and consumer applications.

Application software firms are the critical layer translating AI capabilities into real-world productivity gains, customer experiences, and industry-specific solutions. These companies are therefore uniquely positioned to shape the responsible use of AI at scale, through the design of user interfaces, deployment safeguards, and data governance practices.

As such, engagement with this segment offers investors significant leverage – not only to capture the upside of AI adoption, but also to influence how responsibly and sustainably AI is embedded across the economy.

A responsible AI assessment framework

We have developed, and will continue to refine over time, a proprietary **Responsible AI Assessment Framework**.

This framework assesses a company's level of 'Responsible AI Maturity'.

Each assessment begins with a consideration of the individual use-cases for AI for that particular company:

- Do these AI use-cases present strategic, operational or risk management opportunities (or threats)?
- Do they additionally present limited, minimal or high risks for the company and/or society?

A subsequent 'Governance Maturity' analysis looks at:

- 1 The extent to which the company exhibits the requisite level of 'Knowledge' through its executive and non-executive functions.
- 2 The degree to which 'Workflows' and processes mitigate the risk and ensure delivery against an appropriate Responsible AI policy.
- 3 The extent to which the company's 'Oversight and Disclosures' support and demonstrate compliance with key ethical issues and adherence to and delivery against the company's Responsible AI Policy.

These three principles are assessed through twelve metrics and result in a Governance Maturity categorisation of 'Highly Advanced' through to 'Immature'.

At a later date, this process can be further supplemented by a 29-question, deeper-dive assessment spanning: external impact, governance capability, risk management, fairness and transparency.

This deeper-dive assessment ultimately informs and shapes our engagement priorities with individual companies.

Our engagement agenda

1. Eco-efficient software and AI infrastructure to address the rising environmental footprint

Over the past 10-15 years, the global demand for compute power has expanded exponentially, while energy use at data centres has grown more slowly because of ever-improving efficiency processes.

However, data centre energy use is now forecast to explode – turbocharged by the demands of AI.

Industry leaders have acknowledged the unsustainable trajectory in the global demand for compute power should current trends persist.

While advocates argue that the latest microchips offer significant energy efficiency gains and that new AI model architectures should be more efficient, critics point out that efficiency gains are slowing while AI is being embedded into everything.

In tandem, the breakneck growth in software deployment will translate into greater demand for compute power and capacity – which will further drive energy consumption from electricity use in data centres, networks and devices, in the process likely ramping up carbon emissions (depending on the electricity's source).

There is a strong likelihood that the explosive growth in AI – both for model training and inference workloads – will break the historic decoupled relationship between compute growth and energy-use.

The challenge associated with the energy and water intensity of data centres is well understood.

By 2030, global electricity consumption from AI data centres is expected to reach 945 TWh¹ per year – that is slightly more than the entire electricity consumption of Japan today.²

While a lot of attention is being given to the energy and water intensity of data centres, and their potential impact on local demand for resources, the reality is that the best thing many enterprises can do to be more energy efficient (which helps keep costs down) is move their computing systems onto cloud-based infrastructure.

By 2030, global electricity consumption from AI data centres is expected to reach

945 TWh¹

per year – that is slightly more than the entire electricity consumption of Japan today.

New research suggests that implementing various practical changes could potentially reduce AI energy demand by up to

90%

Here are a few other plain-English alternatives depending on your audience:

The scale and elasticity of cloud platforms – allowing multiple enterprises to share the same compute – combined with the fact that renewable energy is a key consideration when building a data centre make it a much more energy-efficient solution. It is notable that tech and web services companies were responsible for more than 90% of newly-contracted clean energy capacity in the US in 2024.³

Nonetheless, all parties should remain mindful of the increasing energy demand footprint of AI-related infrastructure.

New research suggests that implementing various practical changes could potentially reduce AI energy demand by up to 90%.⁴ It is also possible that cloud-pricing models could shift – in light of the supply and demand mis-match and the bottleneck presented by power availability – which would incentivise more efficient modal design.

Developers should, therefore, give careful consideration to how large AI models are trained.

Questions to ask management on this topic include:

- What steps have been taken to optimise model training and inference for energy efficiency?
- Are sustainability clauses included in cloud contracts?
- What percentage of workloads run on green energy?

More broadly, while microchips and data centres are continuing to become more efficient, and the grid is slowly becoming more sustainable, the principle of achieving more with less – in terms of energy input – is one that should resonate with every designer.

Resource-intensive inefficient software can have an impact on energy consumption – but seemingly small performance improvements can achieve significant improvements in aggregation.

At the present time, software design that seeks to incorporate efficiency is rarely a consideration, and when it is considered, it can lead to tensions with productivity.

¹ TWh stands for terawatt-hour. It is a unit of energy, representing one trillion (1,000,000,000,000) watt-hours.

² International Energy Agency (IEA)

³ [US tech companies contract 48GW of clean energy year on year amid AI boom - report - DCD](#)

⁴ [Practical changes could reduce AI energy demand by up to 90% | UCL News - UCL – University College London](#)

In general, software engineers build more and more abstractions – known as layers – which make it easier to develop new software. However, each iteration has an impact on efficiency through the extra computation required – for example, interpreting code and managing memory – as well as more background processes.

It means that while abstractions speed up development, they often consume more central processing unit (CPU) cycles, memory and energy than lower-level optimised code.

Ultimately, at scale, this can aggregate into a significant increase in unnecessary energy use.

Therefore, while software is typically part of the solution in terms of environmental sustainability – it can also be part of the problem.

As hardware becomes more powerful and energy efficient, the impact of software on overall energy consumption becomes ever more significant.

As a result, it is important to design and use software to optimise energy consumption.

The rapid development and deployment of generative AI technologies, such as large language models (LLMs), only intensifies the urgent need for more of eco-efficient software development for a variety of reasons:

a) Exponential growth in compute demand

- Training and running GenAI models requires vast computational resources.
- As adoption scales up across various industries, the cumulative environmental impact is set to become significant.

b) Persistent and ubiquitous inference

- Unlike traditional software, GenAI models often run continuously in production – through features such as chatbots, content generation, copilots etc – leading to sustained energy consumption.
- Inference, not just training, consequently becomes a significant contributor to emissions because of high usage.

c) Investor and regulatory scrutiny

- Regulators in the EU and elsewhere are beginning to consider the environmental impact of AI under digital sustainability frameworks.

The Green Software Foundation is spearheading the push to reduce emissions associated with software. It advocates designing software that uses fewer resources – such as electricity, memory and bandwidth – over its lifecycle.

Even for SaaS (Software as a Service) enterprise tech companies – which as cloud-native operations have a better energy and emissions profile – the efficiency gains may still be offset by the rapid growth and increasing demands and complexity of AI.

Questions to ask of management include:

- Does the company have internal guidelines or a framework for green software development?
- What percentage of applications are optimised for energy efficiency?
- Are developers trained in sustainable coding practices?
- Are development teams incentivised to prioritise energy efficiency in code?
- Is energy usage per user or per transaction tracked?



Responsible and ethical AI governance

There is little doubt that advances in AI represent huge opportunities for society and, as a consequence, for investors too. However, there is equally little doubt that the breakneck pace of development is creating significant risks.

Despite the best efforts of policymakers, the development of technology always outpaces the implementation of regulation, while the flurry of excitement about a new innovation can incentivise action over prudence.

In a nutshell, responsible AI is about balancing – not hindering – innovation with the necessary management of risks that underlie the development and deployment of AI products and services.

Responsible and ethical AI deployment (RAI) has the potential to resolve many societal challenges from access to healthcare to climate change. RAI governance, however, is essential to manage risks related to bias, explainability, and user trust. Strong oversight and transparency are vital.

There's a natural tension between the early excitement surrounding AI's potential, which, while justified, needs to be tempered with a prudent approach towards various

foundational concerns. The adoption of AI carries risks of unintended consequences across ethical and technological dimensions, spanning vulnerabilities to new forms of cyberattacks, introducing unwanted biases, and creating job disruptions as well other reputational and legal risks. As a result, a dynamic framework for AI governance is essential. Without appropriate attention to Responsible AI, a mismanaged rapid deployment of the technology could put long-term corporate value at risk.

EOS at Federated Hermes Limited⁵ published an updated set of Digital Governance Principles in early 2025, building on papers from 2019 and 2022. We have leant on these Principles as well as work produced across industry to develop our Responsible AI Assessment Framework.

The EU AI Act – the world's first comprehensive law regulating AI adopted in July 2024 – also provides valuable guidance on how to approach high-risk AI in safety-critical areas or related to people's rights. The Act, rightly, places obligations on the AI user around risk management, data governance, transparency, human oversight, and cybersecurity.

Our Assessment Framework encapsulates:

Governance maturity assessment (in summary)	
Knowledge To what extent does the board exhibit the requisite level of skills and expertise, has it sought and gathered input to understand the risks its AI technology poses, and has it embarked on extensive education of its employees to engage, up and re-skill?	Board accountability Board capability Diverse (including end-user) input Employee engagement Executive function responsibility
Workflow To what extent do the workflows and processes mitigate the risk and ensure delivery against the RAI policy?	Public Responsible AI Policy Risk identification Implemented AI model oversight procedures Aligned incentives
Oversight and disclosure To what extent does the company's oversight support compliance with key ethical issues and ensure adherence to, and delivery against, the company's RAI policy? We expect RAI to be integrated through established business systems, and issues related to AI tracked and reported internally.	Internal reporting External reporting Periodic external audits

⁵ EOS at Federated Hermes Limited (EOS) is a world-leading stewardship service provider. Founded in 2004 on a legacy dating back to 1983, EOS delivers corporate engagement and proxy voting services.

⁶ [AI in the workplace: A report for 2025](#)

Responsible AI deeper dive assessment (in summary)	
External societal impact – gains vs. harm	Are the company's AI systems assessed to have a potential net positive benefit to human, social and environmental wellbeing? Does the company specifically assess and measure the positive and negative impacts that AI might have on its workforce?
Governance capability	Does the board have expertise in AI and AI ethics or responsible AI?
Risk management	Does the company have human involvement such as oversight in AI operation and implement practices to control and prevent harms to the users? How high a profile does this have within the business? Do the AI systems elevate the company's data security risk, has this been assessed and what action has been taken to mitigate this risk?
Fairness	Are diverse user groups considered during model/product development?
Transparency	Are AI models/products interpretable and/or explainable to end users? Is the company adequately preparing for and complying with emerging AI regulations?

2. A 'just' AI transition

Equitable response to workforce impact

While the opportunities presented by AI are potentially huge, there is understandable anxiety as to what the adoption of AI will mean for employment. Will there be mass displacement of jobs? If so, how rapidly? While there is anxiety on the part of employees, employers are excited about the potential for productivity. While it is impossible to forecast what will happen with jobs, what we can engage on is a company's approach towards a 'just' AI transition – **an equitable sharing of the spoils from productivity gains and the ultimate provision of better work resulting from AI deployment.**

According to the US Bureau of Labor Statistics, the occupations most susceptible to AI disruption are those with tasks easily replicated by generative AI. However, AI is also projected to augment (or replace) roles in software development and engineering and there are many gloomy forecasts for the displacement of white-collar workers across legal, media, financial and professional services.

A 2025 McKinsey report⁶ highlights that, while 92% of companies plan to increase AI investments, only 1% consider themselves 'mature' in AI deployment.

This gap suggests that, while AI's transformative potential is widely acknowledged, its integration into workflow processes remains nascent.

AI has the potential to contribute up to US\$4.4tn in productivity gains globally, according to the report. However, realising this potential depends on strategic implementation and workforce adaptation which will require an engaged and trained workforce.

According to the US Bureau of Labor Statistics, the occupations most susceptible to AI disruption are those with tasks easily replicated by generative AI.

In the short term, AI is likely to augment rather than replace most jobs, with automation focused on repetitive tasks. In the medium term, the workforce will need to adapt through reskilling and upskilling, especially in areas like data literacy, AI oversight, and human-AI collaboration.

In the nearer-term, AI is reshaping the early career landscape, particularly for graduates entering the workforce. Employers are placing greater emphasis on adaptability, digital literacy, and problem-solving, even in non-technical roles. As a result, graduate hiring is becoming more polarised, with strong demand in tech-adjacent fields and stagnation or decline in others.

Some employers are reducing their graduate intake in favour of upskilling existing staff or leveraging AI to reduce headcount.


In the US, for example, many recent graduates face an uphill challenge securing entry-level jobs. The unemployment rate for recent college graduates is at its highest level since 2012 – and is 75% higher than for *all* college graduates – representing a concerning trend for young workers.



⁷ **Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs):** The SDGs are a set of 17 interconnected goals that were adopted by all UN member states in 2015. They are a universal call to action to end poverty, protect the planet and improve the lives and prospects of everyone, everywhere, by 2030. Learn more here: <https://www.undp.org/sustainable-development-goals>.



Figure 3: Unemployment rates for all workers vs recent graduates vs. all college graduates



Source: Federal Reserve Bank of New York, The Labor Market for Recent College Graduates, April 2025.

A lot of research looks at which occupations are most exposed to automation and a raft of surveys monitor AI adoption rates across various industries.

Outside of software, adoption rates remain relatively low. However, many private sector companies are dipping their toes in the AI water and look keen to dive in fully.

The table below (Figure 4) illustrates the relative automation potential of various jobs compared to the relative real-word usage of AI tools. The message is clear: we are at the very early stages of labour disruption.

Figure 4: Automation potential of jobs vs. real-word usage of AI tools

Role	Automation potential	Real-world adoption
Customer service representative	3.85	0.1%
Data specialist	3.14	2%
Software engineer	3.1	28.4%
Project manager	3.1	0.4%
Business and management consultant	3.09	1.3%
Finance professional	3	3.5%

Source: AI Labor Index / Anthropic Economic Index.

There has been a notable increase in companies establishing an ‘AI First’ strategy that prioritises investment in AI over additional headcount. Some of these companies – such as Duolingo – have received criticism for adopting this approach while, interestingly, others have adopted and then reversed the policy. In early 2025, Klarna’s CEO acknowledged the company was seeking “a balanced approach combining scalable AI with the nuance and empathy of humans”.

Further disruption is inevitably on its way. In the near term, the companies that are likely to be the most successful in adopting AI as a workforce enhancement tool – augmenting processes and realising productivity gains – will be those companies which have earned the trust of their employees.

Once again, there is no substitute for a robust corporate culture. We are asking questions such as:

- What assessment has been undertaken looking at the near- and medium-term impact of AI adoption on the needs of the workforce?
- Will AI be complementary or substitutive to the existing workforce?
- What are the implications of AI adoption for current 'junior' roles and future entry-level hiring?
- What initiatives have been established to up-skill and re-skill workers?
- Fundamentally, how equitably will any productivity gains be shared?


Workforce diversity needs shift

Historically much of our engagement with IT holdings has centred around the need for the industry to diversify its talent pool, in terms of its existing workforce and, crucially, its talent pipeline.

The industry’s workforce can be unbalanced in terms of attracting female workers, is overwhelmingly male-dominated and is typically far from being cognitively diverse. This imbalance creates three issues: i) competition for scarce talent resulting in excess wage inflation; ii) opportunity cost resulting from the narrow hiring pool; iii) risk of biases being ingrained in design processes.

As seen in Figure 5, wage inflation in the semiconductor sector has been very high in recent years. The semiconductor industry itself acknowledges that it has become harder to attract people than in the past, so the hiring funnel clearly needs widening.

Figure 5: Changes in wages per industry



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics

It is notable however, that the same wage inflation challenges are not an issue in the software sector. Indeed within the software industry – and within the hardware sector, to an extent – the need to hire is declining.

AI is rapidly reducing the demand for entry-level functions.

Senior industry figures suggest that the vast majority of coding tasks could soon be done by AI. This shift will naturally reduce demand at the bottom of the pipeline – where most diversity efforts are directed – weakening traditional levers to encourage workforce diversification.



AI, therefore, does not reduce the need for cognitive diversity – in fact it raises the stakes.

Furthermore, as AI's role in decision making becomes more significant, it will throw up increasingly pressing ethical and social implications.

In such a scenario, workforce diversity – in particular cognitive diversity – could become extremely important to spot algorithmic biases, edge cases and exclusion risks.

Therefore, ensuring diverse representation in AI development teams is going to be critical to mitigate these risks, as well as to foster innovation.

As part of this effort, the focus will need to shift from graduate hiring to retention, upskilling, internal mobility and non-traditional entrants.

Questions for companies:

- How are companies ensuring there is cognitive diversity in engineering and AI oversight teams?
- What are companies doing to upskill or reskill under-represented employees into emerging AI-related roles?
- Are hiring models adapting to value non-traditional candidates who may bring diverse thinking beyond technical pedigree?

Conclusion


This report outlines why – in light of the rapid developments in AI and the early-stage adoption across industry – we have chosen to purposefully scale up our AI-related engagement efforts with a range of companies, particularly in the software space.

For businesses everywhere, the adoption of a Responsible AI strategy is essential if they are to take advantage of this new technology in a successful way.

For companies in the software industry, the need to translate AI capabilities into real-world solutions is particularly acute. If it is done well, progress towards multiple UN SDGs⁷ – from Good health and Well-Being through to Reduced Inequalities and Climate Action – should be supported.

Additionally, all companies need to consider the implications of AI adoption for the needs of their workforce. The potential impact on skills and headcount requirements should be thought through carefully and managed sensitively.

An engaged workforce is vital to the successful delivery of a company's AI strategy, but employee engagement should not be taken for granted – the successful adoption of this new technology will be challenged without it. It is in our collective interest that the implementation of AI across industry should result in better work and more productive workplaces.



Please note that this Fund's name changed to Federated Hermes Global SMID Equity Engagement (from SDG Engagement Equity) on 24 April 2025.

This information does not constitute a solicitation or offer to any person to buy or sell any related securities or financial instruments.

The value of investments and income from them may go down as well as up, and you may not get back the original amount invested. It should be noted that any investments overseas may be affected by currency exchange rates. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future results and targets are not guaranteed.

Investing in smaller/medium sized companies may carry higher risks than investing in larger companies. The Fund has environmental and/or social characteristics and so may perform differently to other strategies, as its exposures reflect its sustainability criteria.

For professional investors only. This is a marketing communication. This document does not constitute a solicitation or offer to any person to buy or sell any related securities, financial instruments or products; nor does it constitute an offer to purchase securities to any person in the United States or to any US Person as such term is defined under the US Securities Exchange Act of 1933. It pays no regard to an individual's investment objectives or financial needs of any recipient. No action should be taken or omitted to be taken based on this document. Tax treatment depends on personal circumstances and may change. This document is not advice on legal, taxation or investment matters so investors must rely on their own examination of such matters or seek advice. Before making any investment (new or continuous), please consult a professional and/or investment adviser as to its suitability. All figures, unless otherwise indicated, are sourced from Federated Hermes. Whilst Federated Hermes has attempted to ensure the accuracy of the data it is reporting, it makes no representations or warranties, expressed or implied, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information reported. The data contained in this document is for informational purposes only, and should not be relied upon to make investment decisions. Federated Hermes shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of any information contained on these pages. All performance includes reinvestment of dividends and other earnings.

Federated Hermes Investment Funds plc ("FHIF") is an open-ended investment company with variable capital and with segregated liability between its sub-funds (each, a "Fund"). FHIF is incorporated in Ireland and authorised by the Central Bank of Ireland ("CBI"). FHIF appoints Hermes Fund Managers Ireland Limited ("HFMI") as its management company. HFMI is authorised and regulated by the CBI. Further information on investment products and any associated risks can be found in the prospectus, the fund supplements or the key investor information documents/key information documents, the articles of association as well as the annual and semi-annual reports. In the case of any inconsistency between the descriptions or terms in this document and the prospectus, the prospectus shall prevail. Details of the Manager's Remuneration Policy and Sustainable Policies are available on the Policies and Disclosures page at <https://www.hermes-investment.com/ie/hermes-ireland-policies-and-disclosures/>, including: (a) a description of how remuneration and benefits are calculated; and b) Sustainability related policy and disclosures. All offering documents are available free of charge (i) at the office of the Administrator, Northern Trust International Fund Administration Services (Ireland) Limited, Georges Court, 54- 62 Townsend Street, Dublin 2, Ireland. Tel (+ 353) 1 434 5002 / Fax (+ 353) 1 531 8595; (ii) at <https://www.hermes-investment.com/ie/>; (iii) at the office of its representative in Switzerland (ACOLIN Fund Services AG, Leutschenbachstrasse 50, CH-8050 Zurich www.acolin.com). The paying agent in Switzerland is NPB Neue Privat Bank AG, Limmatquai 1/am Bellevue, P.O. Box, CH-8024 Zurich. The information provided herein does not constitute an offer of the Fund in Switzerland pursuant to the Swiss Financial Services Act ("FinSA") and its implementing ordinance. This is solely an advertisement for the Fund pursuant to FinSA and its implementing ordinance. The costs for hedged share classes will be higher than the costs for non-hedged share classes. Refer to the prospectus or offering documents before making any final investment decisions and consider all fund characteristics and not just ESG characteristics.

Issued and approved by Hermes Fund Managers Ireland Limited ("HFMI") which is authorised and regulated by the Central Bank of Ireland. Registered address: 7/8 Upper Mount Street, Dublin 2, Ireland, D02 FT59. HFMI appoints Hermes Investment Management Limited ("HIML") to undertake distribution activities in respect of the Fund in certain jurisdictions. HIML is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Registered address: Sixth Floor, 150 Cheapside, London EC2V 6ET. Telephone calls may be recorded for training and monitoring purposes. Potential investors in the United Kingdom are advised that compensation may not be available under the United Kingdom Financial Services Compensation Scheme. Eligible European investors are advised that compensation may be available for certain eligible investments under the Ireland Investor Compensation Scheme.

In Argentina: These materials and the information contained herein does not constitute and is not intended to constitute an offer and accordingly should not be construed as such. The products or services referenced in these materials may not be licensed in all jurisdictions, and unless otherwise indicated, no regulator or government authority has reviewed these materials, or the merits of the products and services referenced herein. These materials and the information contained herein has been made available in accordance with the restrictions and/or limitations implemented by any applicable laws and regulations. These materials are directed at and intended for institutional investors (as such term is defined in each jurisdiction in which these materials are being marketed). These materials are provided on a confidential basis for informational purposes only and may not be reproduced in any form. Before acting on any information in these materials, prospective investors should inform themselves of and observe all applicable laws, rules and regulations of any relevant jurisdictions and obtain independent advice if required. These materials are for the use of the named addressee only and should not be given, forwarded or shown to any other person (other than employees, agents or consultants in connection with the addressee's consideration thereof).

In Australia: This document is distributed by Federated Investors Australia Services Pty Ltd. (FIAS) and directed at 'Wholesale Clients' or sophisticated investors within the meaning of sections 761G and 761GA of the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) only. FIAS holds an Australian Financial Services Licence (No. 433831).

In Brazil: The funds may not be offered or sold to the public in Brazil. Accordingly, the funds have not been nor will be registered with the Brazilian Securities Commission - CVM nor have they been submitted to the foregoing agency for approval. Documents relating to the funds, as well as the information contained therein, may not be supplied to the public in Brazil, as the offering of funds is not a public offering of securities in Brazil, nor used in connection with any offer for subscription or sale of securities to the public in Brazil.

In Chile: The offering of the securities of the Federated Hermes fund is subject to the regulations contained in General Rule No. 336 of 27 June, 2012 (Norma de Carácter General 336 de fecha 27 de junio de 2012) issued by the Financial Markets Commission (Comisión para el Mercado Financiero). The offering relates to securities not registered in the Securities Registry (Registro de Valores) or the Foreign Securities Registry (Registro de Valores Extranjeros) maintained by the Financial Markets Commission and therefore are not subject to its surveillance. Since the securities are unregistered, the issuer has no obligation to provide public information relating thereto in Chile. The securities cannot be publicly offered while unregistered in the appropriate Securities Registry. Consequently, the securities described herein are not publicly offered securities and therefore do not have or have a very restricted secondary market.

In Colombia: These materials and the information contained herein does not constitute and is not intended to constitute an offer of securities and accordingly should not be construed as such. The products or services referenced in these materials may not be promoted or marketed in Colombia or to Colombian residents unless such promotion and marketing is made in compliance with Decree 2555 of 2010 and other applicable rules and regulations related to the promotion of foreign financial and/or securities related products or services in Colombia. Unless otherwise indicated, no regulator or government authority has reviewed these materials, or the merits of the products and services referenced herein. This document and the information contained herein has been made available in accordance with the restrictions and/or limitations implemented by any applicable laws and regulations. These materials are directed at and intended for institutional investors (as such term is defined in each jurisdiction in which the product is marketed). These materials are provided on a confidential basis for informational purposes only and may not be reproduced in any form. Before acting on any information in these materials, prospective investors should inform themselves of and observe all applicable laws, rules and regulations of any relevant jurisdictions and obtain independent advice if required. These materials are for the use of the named addressee only and should not be given, forwarded or shown to any other person (other than employees, agents or consultants in connection with the addressee's consideration thereof).

In Hong Kong: The contents of this document have not been reviewed by any regulatory authority in Hong Kong. You are advised to exercise caution in relation to the offer. If you are in any doubt about any of the contents of this document, you should obtain independent professional advice. The fund is not authorised under Section 104 of the Securities and Futures Ordinance of Hong Kong by the Securities and Futures Commission of Hong Kong. Accordingly the distribution of this document, and the placement of interests in the fund in Hong Kong, is restricted. This document may only be distributed, circulated or issued to persons who are professional investors under the Securities and Futures Ordinance and any rules made under that Ordinance or as otherwise permitted by the Securities and Futures Ordinance.

In Mexico: The Fund has not been and will not be registered with the National Registry of Securities, maintained by the Mexican National Banking and Securities Commission and, as a result, may not be offered or sold publicly in Mexico. The Fund and any underwriter or purchaser may offer and sell the Fund in Mexico on a private placement basis to Institutional and Accredited Investors pursuant to Article 8 of the Mexican Securities Market Law.

In Peru: The products or services referenced in these materials may not be licensed in all jurisdictions, and unless otherwise indicated, no regulator or government authority has reviewed this document, or the merits of the products and services referenced herein. Specifically, the shares will not be subject to a public offering in Peru. The shares described herein have not been and will not be approved by or registered with the Peruvian Superintendency of Capital Markets (Superintendencia del Mercado de Valores, or the "SMV") or the Lima Stock Exchange (Bolsa de Valores de Lima). Accordingly, the shares may not be offered or sold in Peru except, among others, if such offering is considered a private offer under the securities laws and regulations of Peru. The shares cannot be offered or sold in Peru or in any other jurisdiction except in compliance with the securities laws thereof. In making an investment decision, institutional investors (as defined by Peruvian law) must rely on their own examination of the terms of the offering of the shares to determine their ability to invest in the shares. These materials and the information contained herein has been made available in accordance with the restrictions and/or limitations implemented by any applicable laws and regulations. These materials are directed at and intended for institutional investors (as such term is defined in each jurisdiction in which the Fund is marketed). These materials are provided on a confidential basis for informational purposes only and may not be reproduced in any form. Before acting on any information in these materials, prospective investors should inform themselves of and observe all applicable laws, rules and regulations of any relevant jurisdictions and obtain independent advice if required. These materials are for the use of the named addressee only and should not be given, forwarded or shown to any other person (other than employees, agents or consultants in connection with the addressee's consideration thereof).

In Singapore: This document and the information contained herein shall not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of any offer to buy which may only be made at the time a qualified offeree receives a Federated Hermes Investment Funds Public Limited Company prospectus, as supplemented with the global supplement, the relevant fund supplement, and the relevant Singapore supplement (the "prospectus"), describing the offering and the related subscription agreement. In the case of any inconsistency between the descriptions or terms in this document and the prospectus, the prospectus shall control. Securities shall not be offered or sold in any jurisdiction in which such offer, solicitation or sale would be unlawful until the requirements of the laws of such jurisdiction have been satisfied. For the avoidance of doubt, this document has not been prepared for delivery to and review by persons to whom any offer of units in a scheme is to be made so as to assist them in making an investment decision. This document and the information contained herein shall not constitute part of any information memorandum. Without prejudice to anything contained herein, neither this document nor any copy of it may be taken or transmitted into any country where the distribution or dissemination is prohibited. This document is being furnished on a confidential basis and solely for information and may not be reproduced, disclosed, or distributed to any other person. This document has not been reviewed by the Monetary Authority of Singapore.

In Spain: This communication has been prepared solely for information purposes and does not constitute a prospectus. While attention has been paid to the contents of this communication, no guarantee, warranty or representation, express or implied, is given to the accuracy, correctness or completeness thereof. This communication and information contained herein must not be copied, reproduced, distributed or passed to any person other than the recipient without Federated Hermes Limited's prior written consent. Neither Federated Hermes Limited, its branches, subsidiaries or affiliates, nor any other company or unit belonging to the Federated Hermes group, nor any of its directors or employees can be held directly or indirectly liable or responsible with respect to this communication. Federated Hermes Investment Funds PLC (the Company), is duly registered with the Spanish Securities Market Commission (CNMV) under number 1394 (www.cnmv.es), where an updated list of the authorised distributors of the Company in Spain (the Spanish Distributors) can be found. This document only contains brief information on the Sub-Fund and does not disclose all of the risks and other significant aspects relevant to a potential investment in the Sub-Fund. In addition, a copy of the report on the planned types of marketing in Spain must be provided using the form published on the CNMV website. All mandatory official documentation shall be available through the Spanish Distributors, in hard copy or by electronic means, and also available upon request by dialling +44(0)20 7702 0888, writing to marketing@federatedhermes.com or consulting <https://www.hermes-investment.com/es/>, where you may also obtain updated information on the net asset value of the relevant shares available in Spain.

In Uruguay: The sale of the product qualifies as a private placement pursuant to section 2 of Uruguayan law 18,627. The product must not be offered or sold to the public in Uruguay, except in circumstances which do not constitute a public offering or distribution under Uruguayan laws and regulations. The product is not and will not be registered with the Financial Services Superintendency of the Central Bank of Uruguay. The shares correspond to investment funds that are not investment funds regulated by Uruguayan law 16,774 dated September 27, 1996, as amended. These materials and the information contained herein does not constitute and is not intended to constitute an offer and accordingly should not be construed as such. The products or services referenced in these materials may not be licensed in all jurisdictions, and unless otherwise indicated, no regulator or government authority has reviewed these materials, or the merits of the products and services referenced herein. These materials and the information contained herein has been made available in accordance with the restrictions and/or limitations implemented by any applicable laws and regulations. These materials are directed at and intended for institutional investors (as such term is defined in each jurisdiction in which these materials are being marketed). These materials are provided on a confidential basis for informational purposes only and may not be reproduced in any form. Before acting on any information in these materials, prospective investors should inform themselves of and observe all applicable laws, rules and regulations of any relevant jurisdictions and obtain independent advice if required. These materials are for the use of the named addressee only and should not be given, forwarded or shown to any other person (other than employees, agents or consultants in connection with the addressee's consideration thereof).

BD016494 0019342 09/25.

Federated Hermes

Federated Hermes is a global leader in active, responsible investing.

Guided by our conviction that responsible investing is the best way to create long-term wealth, we provide specialised capabilities across equity, fixed income and private markets, multi-asset and liquidity management strategies, and world-leading stewardship.

Our goals are to help people invest and retire better, to help clients achieve better risk-adjusted returns and, where possible, to contribute to positive outcomes that benefit the wider world.

Our investment and stewardship capabilities:

- **Active equities:** global and regional
- **Fixed income:** across regions, sectors and the yield curve
- **Liquidity:** solutions driven by five decades of experience
- **Private markets:** private equity, private credit, real estate, infrastructure and natural capital
- **Stewardship:** corporate engagement, proxy voting, policy advocacy

For more information, visit www.hermes-investment.com or connect with us on social media:

